I don't see how anything said in this bill is going to affect that question. It seems to me to be a complete booby trap operation. Am I wrong on this? I don't really think it matters what you say here insofar as the manner in which the bill shall be submitted by the Convention. That is a matter for the Convention once the Convention comes into being. If it is constitutionally in being, they can decide how it will be submitted.

If not constitutionally in being, no matter what you say here, it will make no difference.

MR. SCANLAN: I am inclined to agree with that.

JUDGE ADKINS: This argument would seem to me to be more or less moot.

MR. SCANLAN: I think you are right. In the true sense, the constitutional sense, the argument may be a most one. On the other hand, it seems to us to do no harm to specify in the bill the way we would like the Convention to behave even though we concede they have the power to behave differently if they so determine. But I agree with you constitutionally they